Application No. Applicant(s) 09/241,508 EVANS ET AL. Interview Summary Art Unit ~xaminer rrew E Becker 1761 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Drew E Becker. (3) (2) Mark Binder Date of Interview: 66.02 Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: 71 Identification of prior art discussed: ______ Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>see below</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amenanients which the examine agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments the would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (See MPEP Section 713 4). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A Discussed the method claim 71 regarding Mackowecki of Carollo references. Justicussed adding a downward movement to the claim in order to define around Cerollo. Discussed punching a laminate dough us a single sheet of dough. No agreement was reached. Applicant will file an AF. amendment. STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record funderview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's sumature, if required